

8/18/2021

8/18 DAC

Gmail - DAC meeting on 06.08.2021 at 11am



Sunita Sarkar <sarkarsunita2601@gmail.com>

DAC meeting on 06.08.2021 at 11am

1 message

Sunita Sarkar <sarkarsunita2601@gmail.com>

Thu, Aug 5, 2021 at 1:19 PM

To: Sudipta Roy <sudipta.it@gmail.com>, Tapodhir Acharjee <tapacharjee@gmail.com>, dharsourish <dharsourish@gmail.com>, Mousum Handique <mousum.smit@gmail.com>, "arnab.itaus" <arnab.itaus@gmail.com>, "Dr. Somnath Mukhopadhyay" <som.cse@live.com>, Abhijit Biswas <abhi.021983@gmail.com>, Niranjana Wangjam <niranwang@gmail.com>, Bhagaban Swain <1980bhagaban@gmail.com>, amit deb <amitmcs@gmail.com>

Dear all,

An DAC meeting will be held on 06.08.2021 at 11am to discuss the matter related to the attached letter received from Dean, TSSOT.

Regards

Sunita Sarkar

Head, department of cse

→ **Grievance SOT.pdf**
786K

Minutes of DAC meeting held on 06.08.2021 at 11.30am online through Google Meet. The following members were present:

1. Dr. Sunita Sarkar

Chairperson

Sunita Sarkar

2. Prof. Sudipta Roy

Member

Sudipta Roy

3. Dr. Mousum Handique

Member

Mousum Handique

4. Dr. Tapodhir Acharjee

Member

Tapodhir Acharjee

5. Dr. Sourish Dhar

Member

Sourish Dhar

6. Dr. Abhijit Biswas

Member

Abhijit Biswas

7. Mr. Bhagaban Swain

Member

Bhagaban Swain

8. Dr. Arnab Paul

Member

Arnab Paul

9. Dr. Somnath Mukhopadhyay

Member

Somnath Mukhopadhyay

10. Mr. W. Niranjan Singh

Member

W. Niranjan Singh

After threadbare discussion the following resolutions were taken:

1. In these trying times during pandemic, the department understands that higher education is one of the worst hit sectors. As learning and evaluation are the two key indicators to impart holistic teaching and the department of CSE is committed in our concerted efforts and reinforce our support to students during this pandemic.
2. As per the letter, student himself did agree to the fact that he has taken help from internet to understand a question which actually violates the rules and regulation of examination policy. It eventually breaks the sanctity and integrity of examination system.
3. The concerned teachers have started the said examination at 11:00 A.M. and continued till 10:20 P.M. which shows the commitment on their part to take all the pain of conducting online practical exam approximately for 12 hours. The viva was taken individually for each student at a time. Now the concerned student who appeared his viva at around 9:20 P.M. got irritated for such delay and misbehaved.
4. The concerned teachers checked the answer script submitted by the student and there were enough evidences available to suggest that the student has used unfair means. On enquiring the same with the student, he got irritated and denied his involvement in any kind of malpractice. The same copy of the student was shown in the meeting along with the evidences of unfair means.
5. As per the letter, it was stated that UGC has given several relaxations on the examination process, here it is asked where does UGC in its relaxation has stated that a student may use unfair means to pass the examination.

In view of the above points the student may be asked to justify why *disciplinary action* proceeding should not be initiated against him for adopting unfair means in the examination.

Sunita Sarkar